

**TASK FORCE MEMBERS**

**AnnMarie Brink**

Lindstrom Parks Department

**Chris DuBose**

Chisago Lakes Township

**Peter Grundhoefer**

Chamber of Commerce

**Cory Lindgren**

Chamber of Commerce  
(alternate)

**George McMahon**

County Commissioner

**Mike Mergens**

Center Lakes Association

**John Olinger**

City of Lindstrom

**Kurt Schneider**

County Zoning/Environmental  
Services

**Gary Schumacher**

Chisago Lindstrom Lakes  
Association

**Joe Triplett**

County Engineer

**Al Wahlgren**

Lake Improvement District

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Jeff Fertig**

County Wetlands

**Kristine Fuge**

County Attorney's Office

**Chris Klucas**

Pollution Control Agency

**Craig Mell**

Soil and Water Conservation  
District

**Dan Seemon**

US Army Corps of Engineers

**Craig Wills**

Minnesota Department of  
Natural Resources

**FACILITATION**

**Greg Graske**

Emmons & Olivier Resources

**Monica Kinny**

Environmental Technician

**Jay Michels**

Emmons & Olivier Resources

**Jerry Spetzman**

Water Resource Manager

# North Center North Lindstrom Channel Restoration Feasibility Task Force

## MEETING AGENDA

Tuesday, May 7, 2013, 1:30 – 3:30 pm

Chisago County Government Center, Center City, Room 131

## North Center North Lindstrom Channel Restoration Feasibility Task Force Meeting

**Task Force Purpose:** To review the feasibility of potential channel design options and make a recommendation to the County Board of Commissioners.

### Meeting Purposes:

- 1) To explore the facts related to the various channel restoration options for the Chain of Lakes;
- 2) To review five potential options for channel restoration as well as four bridge design options.
- 3) To determine what additional information might be needed in order to make a reasoned public judgment about the project.

### Pre-work (to be completed by all participants prior to the meeting):

Familiarize yourselves with attached maps, charts and project information regarding the various options for connecting the lakes.

### Assumptions/Givens About This Project:

- **The County Road 20 Bridge is in need of replacement.**
- **The County plans to develop a voluntary EAW for the project if the County Board approves a particular option.**
- **Information on the effect of possible channel and bridge design options on lake levels, water quality, and wetlands is current and available to help make informed decisions.**

## **Meeting Agenda**

### **I. Welcome/Introductions (5)**

### **II. Review the Agenda (5)**

### **III. The Charge: (5)**

**Jerry Spetzman**

- ❖ **What we are asking you to do**
- ❖ **Why we are bringing this issue to you**
- ❖ **How this information gathered will be used in decision making process**

### **IV. Overview of Bridge Replacement Options (15)**

**Joe Triplett**

### **V. Review of Channel Concept Designs (15)**

**Greg Graske**

### **VI. Re-familiarizing ourselves with the five Channel Concept Designs (10) All**

### **VI. Group Discussions. Group discusses each option against these questions: (60)**

- ❖ **What are the positive aspects of this option?**
- ❖ **What are the negative aspects of this option?**
- ❖ **What additional information would you want public officials to consider when making a decision about this issue?**

### **VII. Next Steps (5)**

**Jerry Spetzman**

**Set future meeting date**

**Concept Design:**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Description of Option:**

**The Big Picture** (Any contextual background information that will help the public understand this proposal).

**This option would require that we do these things: (hint: present information in bulleted format)**



**What are the positive aspects of this option?**



**What are the negative aspects of this option?**



**What additional information would we need to make a reasoned public judgment about this option?**

